AO 4/2 (Rev. 5/80) Order of Detention Fending That							
United Sta	TES DIST	TRICT COUR	RT				
	District of Delaware						
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA							
V.	OR	DER OF DETEN	TIO	N PEND	ING T	RIAI	,
Luke Willis Gatlin	Case	CRO6-29	3 -	KAI			
Defendant		011-02					
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(1	f), a detention heari	ng has been held. I conc	lude th	at the follow	ving facts	s require	the
detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	t I—Findings of	Fact					
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in or local offense that would have been a federal offense a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 an offense for which the maximum sentence is life an offense for which a maximum term of imprison	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) if a circumstance g 5(a)(4). imprisonment or do	(1) and has been convict iving rise to federal jurisc eath.				_	state
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the □ date of conviction □ release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A) (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in □ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appropriate and the conference of the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appropriate and the conference of the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure							
the appearance of the defendant as required and the saf	-	-					
X (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appea	ternative Findings	(B)					
X (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger		er person or the commun	ty.	FI	LE	D	1
					7.5		-
				MAY	4 2	006	
			_		STRICT CO		
Part II—Written S	Statement of Rea	sons for Detention		DISTRIC	T OF DELA	WARE	
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted derance of the evidence: Defendant is charged with possession of conviction, defendant faces sentencing as an armed career crimin long resident of DE, has family in this state and has a girlfriend of failed to support, the evidence against him is strong and his past a result of a search of defendant by the WPD, a weapon was four began at age 14 when he pled guilty to Robbery 2d from the high possession with intent to deliver a controlled substance. In early in violation of probation on 4 occasions (1999, Feb. 2001, Nov. controlled substance and ha again violated probation for this officharge assault 1st) and violated probation for that conviction on a violated probation for that offense in August 2005. At the time only for 1 year when he was arrested on the present offense. He was discharged unsuccessfully, in part because of his continued clearly cannot or won't abide by any supervision. He continues combination there of that will reasonable assure the safety of the incarceration. All other convictions result in very short term jail	of a weapon by a connal with a minimum with which he is live criminal history is and on his person with her offense of robbey 1998 he was again 2001 and June 200 ense on 2 occasions 4 occasions. In Jan of this offense, he ver has undergone sub legal problems. Sin in criminal activity to community. Furth	nvicted felon. Since this mandatory sentence of I ing and a number of chil significant which warran th 3 rounds in the chamber 1st. Two years later, h convicted of possession 2). In 1999 he pled guilty as on state probation. He stance abuse treatment force 1999, he has violated with impunity. As a rester, for this offense he face	would so years dren look to his dier. His e was fe with ir y to masser of to posse has our dependent of the probatult, these	involve at I s. Although cally, most etention per significant ound deliquitent to deli aintaining a fense of assession with nly been endence to all ion 11 sepae are no con	east a thing the desired and the desired and we have and we have a complete for a complete and the desired and	nt is a li he has hl. As history e charge vas foun or keepi original deliver once and d MJ an s. He	fe of d ing a and l

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

May 3, 2006

Date

Signature of Judit ia! Officer
Mary Pat Thynge, Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).